

TABLE OF CONTENTS

National Construction Safety Team for the NIST Technical Investigation of the May 22, 2011 Tornado in Joplin, Missouri.....	iii
Contributors to the Investigation.....	v
Acknowledgements.....	vii
Dedication.....	ix
Abstract.....	xi
Table of Contents.....	xiii
List of Figures.....	xxi
List of Tables.....	xxxv
List of Acronyms and Abbreviations.....	xxxvii
Metric Conversion Table.....	xli
Executive Summary.....	xliii
 Chapter 1	
Introduction.....	1
1.1 The City of Joplin.....	1
1.2 The Event.....	6
1.3 The Toll.....	19
1.4 NIST’s Joplin Tornado Investigation.....	19
1.4.1 Goals.....	20
1.4.2 Objectives.....	20
 Chapter 2	
Tornado Hazard Characteristics.....	23
2.1 Introduction.....	23
2.2 Meteorological Conditions.....	23
2.2.1 Objective.....	23
2.2.2 Joplin Environment and Timeline.....	23

2.3	Near–Surface Wind Environment	30	
2.3.1	Objective	30	
2.3.2	Tornado Wind Field Regions	30	
2.3.3	Direct Wind Speed Observations	33	
2.3.3.1	Wind Environment	33	
2.3.4	Indirect Wind Speed Estimation.....	34	
2.3.4.1	Observed Damage (EF Scale).....	34	
2.3.4.2	Tornado Wind Field Model	39	
2.4	Description of the Tornado Hazard in the United States	56	
2.4.1	Objective	56	
2.4.2	Tornado Climatology	56	
2.4.2.1	NOAA Tornado Database	56	
2.4.2.2	Tornado–Induced Losses.....	57	
2.4.2.3	Tornado Hazard Analysis	58	
2.4.3	Urbanization of Communities	67	
2.5	Enhanced Fujita Scale Assessment.....	68	
2.5.1	Objective	68	
2.5.2	History	68	
2.5.3	Current Practice/Guidance.....	68	
2.5.4	Recent Observations Using the EF Scale	69	
2.6	Summary	70	
2.6.1	Meteorological Conditions	70	
2.6.2	Near–Surface Wind Environment	70	
2.6.3	Description of the U.S. Tornado Hazard	72	
2.6.4	Enhanced Fujita Scale Assessment	72	
2.7	References.....	73	
Chapter 3			
Performance of Buildings, Designated Safe Areas, and Lifelines			77
3.1	Introduction.....	77	

3.1.1	Overall Extent of Damage	77
3.1.2	Scope of Structures Surveyed and of This Chapter	79
3.1.3	Methodology for Building Performance Evaluation	85
3.1.4	Tornado Design: A National Perspective	86
3.1.5	History of Building Code Adoption in Joplin	89
3.2	Performance of Buildings	91
3.2.1	Critical Facilities and High–Occupancy Buildings	92
3.2.1.1	St. John’s Regional Medical Center	92
3.2.1.2	Walmart	120
3.2.1.3	Home Depot.....	129
3.2.1.4	Joplin East Middle School.....	139
3.2.1.5	Joplin High School	151
3.2.1.6	Franklin Technology Center.....	159
3.2.1.7	St. Mary’s Catholic Elementary School	164
3.2.1.8	Summary of Performance of Critical Facilities and High–Occupancy Buildings.....	167
3.2.2	Commercial Buildings.....	169
3.2.2.1	Ozark Center for Autism	169
3.2.2.2	St. Paul’s United Methodist Church	175
3.2.2.3	Ramesh Shaw Ophthalmologist.....	179
3.2.2.4	Summary of Performance of Commercial Buildings	183
3.2.3	Residential Buildings	184
3.2.3.1	Multi–Family Residential Buildings	185
3.2.3.2	Single–Family Residential Buildings	197
3.2.3.3	Summary of Performance of Residential Buildings	203
3.3	Performance of Designated Safe Areas and Shelters.....	203
3.3.1	Commercial High–Occupancy Facilities.....	205
3.3.1.1	Designated Refuge Area at Walmart.....	205
3.3.1.2	Designated Refuge Area at the Home Depot.....	206

3.3.2	Schools	210
3.3.2.1	Designated Safe Areas at Joplin East Middle School.....	210
3.3.2.2	Designated Refuge Areas at Joplin High School.....	210
3.3.3	High–Occupancy Health Care Facilities	213
3.3.3.1	Designated Refuge Areas at Ozark Center for Autism.....	213
3.3.3.2	Designated Refuge Areas at SJRMC.....	215
3.3.3.3	Designated Refuge Areas at the Greenbriar Nursing Home.....	217
3.3.4	In–Home Shelters	217
3.3.4.1	In–Home Steel Shelter.....	219
3.3.4.2	In–Home Kevlar–Reinforced Shelter	219
3.3.5	Summary of Performance of Shelters/Designated Refuge Areas.....	219
3.4	Performance of Lifelines as Related to Building Functionality.....	222
3.4.1	Overall Tornado Effect on Lifelines in Joplin.....	222
3.4.1.1	Electricity	222
3.4.1.2	Water	224
3.4.1.3	Natural Gas.....	226
3.4.1.4	Communications.....	226
3.4.2	Effect of Lifeline Disruptions on Building Operations	228
3.4.3	Summary of Performance of Lifelines	231
3.5	References.....	232
Chapter 4		
	Emergency Communications and Public Response.....	235
4.1	Public Response in Disasters	235
4.2	Data Collection	237
4.2.1	Data on Public Response	238
4.2.2	Data on Consequences of the Tornado—Injuries and Deaths.....	240
4.2.3	Data on Emergency Response	242
4.3	Joplin, Missouri, Before the Tornado of May 22, 2011.....	242
4.3.1	Emergency Communications—Outdoor Warning Systems	243

4.3.2	Emergency Communications—Other Modes of Communication.....	246
4.3.3	Sheltering Procedures for Tornadoes	247
4.3.4	Tornado History in the Joplin Area	248
4.4	Joplin, Missouri, on May 22, 2011—The Tornado Hits	250
4.4.1	Elks Lodge.....	254
4.4.2	St. John’s Regional Medical Center	254
4.4.3	Stained Glass Theater	261
4.4.4	Greenbriar Nursing Home	264
4.4.5	Places of Worship.....	265
4.4.6	AT&T Store.....	268
4.4.7	Home Depot	270
4.4.8	Pizza Hut	272
4.4.9	Walmart Store #59.....	274
4.4.10	Single-Family Homes and Apartment Complexes	277
4.4.11	In Vehicles or Outdoors.....	287
4.4.12	Additional Locations of Fatalities in Joplin	292
4.5	Casualties from the May 22, 2011, Joplin Tornado.....	292
4.5.1	Settings in Which Fatalities Occurred.....	293
4.5.2	Basic Causes of Deaths and Injuries	293
4.5.3	Date of Death.....	294
4.5.4	Demographics.....	295
4.5.4.1	Gender	295
4.5.4.2	Age—Deaths	295
4.5.4.3	Age—Injuries	298
4.5.5	Summary Questions and Findings Related to Casualties	298
4.6	The Influence of Environmental Conditions on Casualties	299
4.6.1	The Influence of Environmental Conditions on Casualties Outside of Buildings.....	303
4.6.2	The Influence of Environmental Conditions on Casualties Inside of Buildings	307
4.6.2.1	Non-Residential Structures	309

4.6.2.2 Residential Buildings.....	319
4.6.3 Summary	323
4.7 The Influence of Emergency Communications and Public Response on Casualties Both Inside and Outside of Buildings.....	325
4.7.1 Protection Is Unnecessary	329
4.7.1.1 No General Risk Perceived.....	329
4.7.1.2 No Personal Risk Perceived (“It Will Not Happen to Me”).....	332
4.7.2 Protective Action Is Necessary.....	336
4.7.2.1 Confirmation Is Achieved After Initial Warnings	336
4.7.2.2 Personal Risk Is Perceived	337
4.7.2.3 Other Actions During Decision Making.....	338
4.7.3 Other Important Factors Related to Casualties.....	338
4.8 Chapter Summary	339
4.9 References.....	342
 Chapter 5	
Findings and Recommendations	349
5.1 Principal Findings	349
5.1.1 Context for Findings.....	349
5.1.2 Findings Related to Tornado Hazard Characteristics and Associated Wind Field.....	350
5.1.2.1 Measurements of the Near–Surface Wind Field in Tornadoes (< 20 m).....	350
5.1.2.2 Assessment of Tornado Climatology, Hazard, and Risk for Structural Design.....	351
5.1.2.3 Limitations of the Enhanced Fujita (EF) Scale.....	351
5.1.3 Findings Related to the Response of Residential, Commercial, and Critical Buildings, Including the Performance of Designated Safe Areas and of Lifelines Pertaining to the Continuity of Building Operations.....	351
5.1.3.1 Building Performance.....	351
5.1.3.2 Performance of Shelters/Safe Rooms/Designated Refuge Areas	353
5.1.3.3 Performance of Lifelines	354

5.1.4 Findings Related to the Pattern, Location, and Cause of Fatalities and Injuries, and Associated Performance of Emergency Communications Systems and Public Response.....	354
5.1.4.1 Emergency Communication Prior to May 22, 2011	355
5.1.4.2 Tornado History Prior to May 22, 2011	355
5.1.4.3 Emergency Communication on May 22, 2011	355
5.2 Recommendations.....	357
5.2.1 Recommendations Related to Tornado Hazard Characteristics and Associated Wind Field.....	358
5.2.2 Recommendations Related to the Performance of Buildings, Shelters/Designated Safe Areas, and Lifelines.....	361
5.2.3 Recommendations Related to the Pattern, Location, and Cause of Fatalities and Injuries, and Associated Performance of Emergency Communications Systems and Public Response	367
Appendix A	
Mesoscale Discussions Issued on May 22, 2011, That Included Joplin	371
A.1 Mesoscale Discussion 853	371
A.2 Mesoscale Discussion 862	372
A.3 Mesoscale Discussion 867	373
Appendix B	
EF–Scale Rating for Random Residential Structures	375
Appendix C	
Model Grid Coordinates	377
Appendix D	
Tornado Wind Field Model	379
Appendix E	
Modeled Wind Speed and Direction Time Histories at Select Locations.....	387
Appendix F	
Tornadoes per Year Maps (EF-0 Through EF-5).....	393
Appendix G	
History of Code Adoptions by the City of Joplin	397

Appendix H	
Estimated Design Wind Pressure and Strengths of Connections of Joplin Walmart Building #59	403
H.1 Estimated Design Wind Pressure Based on IBC 2000.....	403
H.2 Estimated Uplift Strength Of Roof Joist–To–Joist Girder Connections	404
Appendix I	
Estimated Design Wind Pressure and Strengths of Connections of Joplin Home Depot Building	405
I.1 Estimated Design Wind Pressure Based on Boca NBC/1996	405
I.2 Roof Bracing Forces R_T	407
I.3 Roof Diaphragm Shear and Stiffness	409
I.4 Uplift and Shear Capacities of Roof Deck–to–Joist Connections	410
Appendix J	
Estimated Design Wind Pressure for Joplin East Middle School Gymnasium.....	413
Appendix K	
Qualitative Analysis of the Current State of Tornado Warning Systems in the U.S.	415
Appendix L	
U.S. Codes and Standards on Outdoor Warning Systems	419
Appendix M	
NOAA/NWS Warning Text for the Joplin Tornado.....	423
M.1 Warning Issued at 5:09 PM CDT	423
M.2 Warning Issued at 5:17 PM CDT	424
Appendix N	
Methods for Development of Evidence-Based Explanation of Decision-Making in Joplin Tornado	427

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1–1.	Location of Joplin (circled in red) in south western Missouri.	1
Figure 1–2.	Map of Joplin and surrounding area.	2
Figure 1–3.	Damage from the 1971 Joplin tornado.....	5
Figure 1–4.	Initial damage in the Joplin tornado. Yellow arrows indicate directions of tree fall. Possible multiple vortices shown by red arrows.	8
Figure 1–5.	Damage on the west side of Joplin.	9
Figure 1–6.	Aerial photo showing damage to St. John’s Regional Medical Center and the surrounding area.....	11
Figure 1–7.	Aerial photo showing locations of the Ozark Center for Autism, Greenbriar Nursing Home, St. Mary’s Catholic Elementary School, and EDE substation 59 (from west to east). Residential area is outlined in white.....	13
Figure 1–8.	Aerial photo showing the locations of a heavily damaged residential area, Franklin Technology Center, Joplin High School, and Harmony Heights Baptist Church. The color of each building footprint shows the level of damage to that structure.	14
Figure 1–9.	Aerial photo of damaged residential areas (including apartment complexes) in Joplin near the intersection of 20th and Connecticut.	15
Figure 1–10.	Aerial photo showing damage to a commercial area on the eastern side of Joplin near the intersection of Range Line Road and 20th Street.	16
Figure 1–11.	Aerial photo of damage to a residential area and Joplin East Middle School.....	18
Figure 2–1.	500 millibar (mb) analysis at 7 a.m. CDT (1200 Coordinated Universal Time (UTC)) on May 22, 2011.	24
Figure 2–2.	Surface analysis at 7 p.m. CDT (0000 UTC, May 23, 2011) on May 22, 2011.	24
Figure 2–3.	Wind shear and CAPE for severe thunderstorm environments. "Best Discriminator" line denotes areas to right and above as being more favorable for significant severe thunderstorms.....	25
Figure 2–4.	Tornado outlook issued by NOAA’s Storm Prediction Center at 1 a.m. CDT (0600 UTC) on May 22, 2011.	26
Figure 2–5.	Tornado warnings issued by the Springfield NWS office on May 22, 2011. Zulu time, or Z, in the figure is the same as UTC time (Z = UTC). Estimated NWS track of the Joplin tornado shown outlined in brown.	28

Figure 2–6.	Timeline of watches, warnings, sirens, and the tornado itself.	28
Figure 2–7.	Radar reflectivity sequence from the Springfield radar. Radar reflectivity is the right panel and radial velocity is the left panel of each image. For radial velocity, red to yellow colors indicate winds receding from the radar (which is located to the east of Joplin), green to white colors indicate winds approaching the radar. These colors in close proximity suggest rotation and signify the approximate tornado position. A "hook echo" is seen in reflectivity images, also a possible indication of a tornado. These features are annotated in the 2248 UTC panel.	29
Figure 2–8.	Center of Joplin tornado track as estimated by NIST.	30
Figure 2–9.	General tornado flow regions.....	31
Figure 2–10.	Normalized Rankine vortex showing maximum wind speed at RMW ($\phi=1.0$).	32
Figure 2–11.	Generalized wind vector for a point denoted by the small gray circle. RMW is distance from gray circle to "tornado center."	32
Figure 2–12.	Time history of mean and gust wind speed and mean wind direction from the Joplin ASOS station (KJLN) from 5 p.m. to 6 p.m. CDT (2200–2300 UTC), on May 22, 2011. Boxed area is approximate time when the tornado was on the ground in Joplin.	33
Figure 2–13.	Aerial photo of Walmart Store #39 showing extensive damage to the southern (bottom) half of the building.....	36
Figure 2–14.	Elevation view of southwest–facing wall of Walmart Store #39.....	36
Figure 2–15.	Building footprints in part of Joplin showing damage classes. St. Mary’s Catholic Elementary School (red box) shown for reference. Area shown is approximately 1.0 miles west to east and 0.8 miles north to south.	38
Figure 2–16.	Grid system for tree fall analysis in Joplin. Each dot denotes a tree/wind–field point spaced at every 0.05 miles. The grid extends 6.2 miles west to east and 2.0 miles north to south.....	43
Figure 2–17.	Grid overlaid with Rankine vortex model results. Red arrows represent current wind direction, black arrows represent direction of tree fall, and contouring illustrates wind speed field.	44
Figure 2–18.	Observed tree fall pattern between 20th and 32nd streets just east of Schifferdecker Ave. Area is approximately 0.5 miles west to east by 1.0 miles north to south.	45
Figure 2–19.	Example of procedure for comparing observed tree fall to modeled tree fall. Output parameters are also listed.....	47
Figure 2–20.	Ranges and best estimate of wind speed (left) and associated wind direction (right) for $X = 2781933$ ft, $Y = 326052$ ft; Grid Point #1175. Best estimates are the bold traces on each plot.....	48

Figure 2–21.	Translation direction (θ_T) changes in the Joplin tornado. Numbers are approximate directions in degrees. White line indicates estimated center of tornado path. The area in the figure is approximately 6.2 miles west to east and 2.0 miles north to south.....	48
Figure 2–22.	Example of a modeled best estimate of tornado wind speed and direction time history associated with the Joplin tornado ($X = 2.1, Y = 0.45$).	49
Figure 2–23.	Estimated maximum wind speed grid points from tornado wind field model grid points grouped by EF Scale. The solid black line represents the estimated tornado center. The area in the figure is approximately 6.2 miles west to east and 2.0 miles north to south... ..	50
Figure 2–24.	Estimated maximum wind speed polygons from tornado wind field model grouped by EF Scale. The solid black line represents the estimated tornado center. The area in the figure is approximately 6.2 miles west to east and 2.0 miles north to south.	50
Figure 2–25.	Illustration of differences in Rankine vortex model given ϕ parameter.	51
Figure 2–26.	Best estimate of duration of wind speeds greater than or equal to EF–2 (≥ 110 mph). Green line shows estimated tornado center. The area in the figure is approximately 6.2 miles west to east and 2.0 miles north to south.....	51
Figure 2–27.	Three distinct ranges of maximum wind speed based on different values of translational speed (VT) for Grid Point #1175 ($X = 2.1, Y = 0.45$). Red dots illustrate the combinations of V using the range values of VT and Gmax.	53
Figure 2–28.	Average fatalities per tornado and total fatalities by EF number (1950–2011).	57
Figure 2–29.	Average loss per tornado and total loss (2011 dollars) by EF number.	58
Figure 2–30.	Illustration of point–based probability approach.	59
Figure 2–31.	Example of spatially based approach within a given region.....	62
Figure 2–32.	Probability density function of EF–2 or stronger tornadoes. Black dots represent individual touchdown locations. Warmer colors represent higher probability and probability values are shown on contour lines. Data Source: NOAA. Analysis by NIST.	64
Figure 2– 33.	Probability density of EF–2 or greater tornadoes from 1980 through 2011 with EF–2 or stronger tornadoes per year values shown at each grid point. Data Source: NOAA. Analysis by NIST.....	65
Figure 2– 34.	Illustration of spatially based analysis. Contoured values are return period (MRI) in years for EF–2 or stronger tornadoes.	67
Figure 3–1.	Westernmost (earliest) segment of the tornado path through Joplin, showing locations of facilities surveyed by NIST relative to the estimated center line of the tornado damage path (red solid line). The color coded building footprints indicate the estimated level of damage to individual structures (see legend above).. ..	82

Figure 3–2.	Central segment of the tornado path through Joplin, showing locations of facilities surveyed by NIST relative to the estimated center line of the tornado damage path (red solid line). The color coded building footprints indicate the estimated level of damage to individual structures (see legend above).....	83
Figure 3–3.	Easternmost segment of the tornado path through Joplin, showing locations of facilities surveyed by NIST relative to the estimated center line of the tornado damage path (red solid line). The color coded building footprints indicate the estimated level of damage to individual structures (see legend above).....	84
Figure 3–4.	Regionalization of tornado wind hazard	88
Figure 3–5.	Tornado hazard map for shelter and safe–room design	89
Figure 3–6.	Overview of St. John’s Regional Medical Center.....	93
Figure 3–7.	Time–history of wind speed and direction at north end of SJRMC.....	95
Figure 3–8.	Time–history of wind speed and direction at south end of SJRMC.	95
Figure 3–9.	SJRMC's West Tower (north side).	96
Figure 3–10.	Intact windows on fifth floor of West Tower (south side).....	98
Figure 3–11.	Intact windows on fifth floor of West Tower (north side).	98
Figure 3–12.	Damage to roof and penthouse walls of West Tower.	99
Figure 3–13.	Typical damage to the interior of West Tower.	100
Figure 3–14.	View from southwest of East Tower showing that most windows on south and west sides of building and curtain walls on connecting elevator steel frame have broken.	102
Figure 3–15.	View from southeast of East Tower showing that most windows on south and east sides of building have broken.	102
Figure 3–16.	Close–up view showing minor damage to architectural precast concrete column–cover–and–spandrel system and significant damage to glass windows of East Tower.	103
Figure 3–17.	Missing/collapsed vertical insulated metal curtain wall panels of East Tower penthouse.	104
Figure 3–18.	Damage to roof covering system of East Tower (peeling–off of EPDM roof membrane and rigid insulation, loss/displacement of roof aggregate ballast).....	105
Figure 3–19.	Overview of West, East, and Elevator Towers and Oncology Clinic Building showing that most of the aggregates that were used as roof ballast on these buildings are missing.	106

Figure 3–20.	View from northwest of Emergency Generator Building. Note northward and eastward direction of wall failure, consistent with the direction of maximum wind (westerly) shown on Figure 3–7.....	107
Figure 3–21.	East side of Emergency Generator Building. Note eastward direction of failure on east wall (CMU wall falling outward, door opened, louver pushed outward). Also note louver on north wall pushed outward, consistent with direction of maximum westerly wind and indicative of high internal pressure.....	107
Figure 3–22.	Failure details at Emergency Generator Building.....	108
Figure 3–23.	Views of damage sustained at the Chiller Plant.....	111
Figure 3–24.	South elevation of Oncology Clinic Building showing damage to building envelope (broken glass windows, missing window frame, missing roof decks).....	113
Figure 3–25.	Roof of Oncology Clinic Building: missing steel deck panels, gypsum board, rigid insulation, membrane, and aggregate ballast.	113
Figure 3–26.	Roof of Oncology Clinic Building: failed puddle welds connecting roof deck with supporting roof beam.	113
Figure 3–27.	In Oncology Clinic Building, interior damage to ductwork, electrical wiring, suspended ceiling, interior partition/metal stud wall, furniture. Note the aggregate from roof in the interior.....	114
Figure 3–28.	View of damage to envelope of Medical Office Building 1.	115
Figure 3–29.	Views of (top) damage to envelope of Medical Office Building 2 and (bottom) portion of the steel frame system (bolted beam–column connection).....	117
Figure 3–30.	Evidence of debris impact causing breakage of windows at Medical Office Building 2.	118
Figure 3–31.	Roof aggregate ballast became wind–borne debris (on ground by Medical Office Building 2).	118
Figure 3–32.	Collapse of an entire brick veneer curtain wall and the supporting aluminum stud frame on the south–facing wall of Physician’s Office Building.	119
Figure 3–33.	Aerial views of Walmart in relation to the tornado damage path (red line denotes center of damage path).	121
Figure 3–34.	Aerial view of Walmart outlining southern half of building collapsed by the tornado. .	122
Figure 3–35.	Time–history of wind speed affecting Walmart north half.....	123
Figure 3–36.	Time–history of wind speed affecting Walmart south half.....	123

Figure 3–37.	Roof system (decks and joists) missing. Some roof joists displaced upward (top chords used to be at same elevation as top chords of joist girders, but now sitting above joist girders), showing evidence of wind uplift action as primary cause of failure.	126
Figure 3–38.	Large area of roof system shown missing. Joist girders bent upward due to uplift pressure.	126
Figure 3–39.	Missing door and glass panels and damage to signage at south entrance on west wall (envelope failure allowing air infiltration to the interior and causing increased wind uplift)	127
Figure 3–40.	Collapsed east wall due to loss of roof lateral bracing and inward wind pressure.	130
Figure 3–41.	Typical loading and design conditions for BTS building and possible failure mode.	131
Figure 3–42.	Aerial views of Home Depot in relation to the tornado damage path (red line denotes center of damage path).....	131
Figure 3–43.	Time–history of wind speed and direction affecting Home Depot.	132
Figure 3–44.	Typical connection between the roof system and the perimeter tilt–up RC wall	133
Figure 3–45.	Typical connection between roof joist girder and interior column	133
Figure 3–46.	Positive wall–to–footing connection (dowels connection to footing and concrete block, in 9 tilt–up wall panels near loading dock)	135
Figure 3–47.	Friction–only wall–to–footing connection (in remaining 64 tilt–up RC wall panels)....	135
Figure 3–48.	Roof framing schedule and typical roof joist–to–wall panel welded connection	135
Figure 3–49.	Collapsed Home Depot building (view from the northwest).....	137
Figure 3–50.	Ten tilt–up panels in the northeast corner remained standing. Seven of these 10 panels had positive connections to the footing and thus some degree of restraint against rotation. The other three uncollapsed panels had friction–only connections to the footing but appeared to have been supported against falling outward by steel shelving on the exterior side (Garden Center).....	137
Figure 3–51.	Damaged joist girders and interior columns. Note the complete loss of the roof system that was connected to the girders.	139
Figure 3–52.	Aerial views of Joplin East Middle School in relation to the tornado damage path (red line denotes center of damage path).....	140
Figure 3–53.	Center diagram shows the sections of the Joplin East Middle School building complex. The Gymnasium (A) and Auditorium (B) sustained the greatest damage in the tornado.	141
Figure 3–54.	Time–history of wind speed and direction at the south end of Joplin East Middle School (over the Gymnasium).	142

Figure 3–55.	Lateral bracing for Gymnasium walls.....	144
Figure 3–56.	Joplin East Middle School Gymnasium building, viewed from the west, showing complete loss of steel roof deck, disconnection and collapse of the first two bow–string steel trusses, and collapse of 9 out of 11 tilt–up panels of the west wall.....	144
Figure 3–57.	Disconnection of steel roof deck of Gymnasium due to failure of puddle welds due to uplift pressure.	145
Figure 3–58.	Collapsed bow–string roof trusses and collapsed west wall tilt–up panels in the interior of the Gymnasium.	146
Figure 3–59.	Seat angle welded to end of bow–string roof truss and embedded into top of tilt–up panel got disconnected. Note missing vertical headed studs (4, each 10 in. long), which appeared to have been sheared off from the angle. The three horizontal studs remained connected to the seat angle but pulled out from the concrete.	146
Figure 3–60.	Elevation showing first two bow–string roof trusses and collapse radius of west wall..	148
Figure 3–61.	View from east of Auditorium building.....	150
Figure 3–62.	In wreckage of Auditorium, missing roof deck from top of joist—only puddle–weld marks remained.....	150
Figure 3–63.	Collapse due to overturning (outward) of east perimeter Joplin East Middle School Auditorium wall after loss of roof diaphragm.	151
Figure 3–64.	Overview of Joplin High School complex, with estimated center line of Joplin tornado damage path shown in red.....	152
Figure 3–65.	Estimated time–history of wind speed and direction at southeast corner (closest to center of tornado damage path) of Joplin High School.	153
Figure 3–66.	View from the exterior showing damage to the envelope of one of the RC frame buildings (east building) of Joplin High School.	154
Figure 3–67.	View from the exterior showing damage to the envelope of one of the RC frame buildings (west building) of Joplin High School.	154
Figure 3–68.	Damage and debris in an interior hallway of Joplin High School.	155
Figure 3–69.	View from west of the Joplin High School Gymnasium building.	156
Figure 3–70.	View from north of the Joplin High School Auditorium building.....	157
Figure 3–71.	Failure of joist–to–CMU wall connection due to uplift.....	157
Figure 3–72.	Collapse of CMU wall due to loss of lateral roof bracing.	158
Figure 3–73.	Short anchorage between CMU wall and concrete footing.	158

Figure 3–74.	Overview of damage at Franklin Technology Center, with center line of tornado damage path (in red).....	160
Figure 3–75.	Estimated time–history of wind speed at southeast corner of Franklin Technology Center.....	161
Figure 3–76.	Steel roof deck and roof joist connection to CMU wall bond beam.....	161
Figure 3–77.	Steel roof joists, with missing roof decks, remained connected to CMU bond beam. Bond beam remained continuous, but was disconnected from the CMU walls along the bond line between the two CMU courses at the top of the wall.	162
Figure 3–78.	Perimeter CMU wall that collapsed after loss of roof lateral bracing (note lack of reinforcing dowels connecting wall to concrete footing).....	163
Figure 3–79.	Perimeter CMU wall that collapsed after loss of roof lateral bracing (note lack of reinforcing dowels connecting wall to concrete footing).....	163
Figure 3–80.	Overview of damage at St. Mary’s Catholic Elementary School, with estimated center line of tornado damage path shown in red.	165
Figure 3–81.	Estimated time–history of wind speed affecting the buildings at St. Mary’s Catholic Elementary School.	166
Figure 3–82.	Section of building at St. Mary’s Catholic Elementary school with complete loss of the steel roof system.	166
Figure 3–83.	Section of building at St. Mary’s Catholic Elementary school with collapsed perimeter CMU walls.....	166
Figure 3–84.	Overview of damage to the Ozark Center for Autism and surrounding buildings, with estimated center line of tornado damage path shown in red.	170
Figure 3–85.	Estimated time–history of wind speed and direction at the Ozark Center.....	171
Figure 3–86.	Ozark Center for Autism building (viewed from the southeast).....	172
Figure 3–87.	Interior view of the physical education section of the Ozark Center showing structural system with roof truss–column moment connection and open–web roof joist and wide–rib steel roof deck.	172
Figure 3–88.	Significant damage to the envelope and minor damage to the MWFRS of the physical education section of the Ozark Center building, including (1) loss of part of steel roof decks, (2) loss of all insulating windows and steel frames on all wind–exposed sides, and (3) deformation of steel beam (likely due to debris impact).....	174
Figure 3–89.	Typical damage to the Ozark Center building envelope (broken window glazing, missing window frames, and torn exterior steel panels).	174
Figure 3–90.	Overview of damage at St. Paul’s United Methodist Church and surrounding areas, with the estimated center line of the tornado damage path shown in red.	176

Figure 3–91.	Estimated time–history of wind speed affecting the Mass Hall of St. Paul’s United Methodist Church.	177
Figure 3–92.	View from east of the damaged, pre–engineered steel Mass Hall building of St. Paul’s United Methodist Church.....	177
Figure 3–93.	Tapered rafter sections with bolted connection and roof purlins of St. Paul’s United Methodist Church.	178
Figure 3–94.	Damage to envelope of St. Paul’s United Methodist Church.	178
Figure 3–95.	Overview of damage at the Ramesh Shah Ophthalmology Center, with the estimated center line of the tornado damage path shown in red.	180
Figure 3–96.	Estimated time–history of wind speed and direction at the Ramesh Shah Ophthalmology Center.....	181
Figure 3–97.	Ramesh Shah Ophthalmology Center viewed from the southwest.....	181
Figure 3–98.	Ramesh Shah Ophthalmology Center viewed from the east–southeast.....	182
Figure 3–99.	Close up view of the Ramesh Shah Ophthalmology Center showing connections and cable bracing of the pre–engineered steel frames	182
Figure 3–100.	Close up view of the Ramesh Shah Ophthalmology Center showing connection between the pre–engineered steel frame with the concrete wall below	183
Figure 3–101.	References for Joplin GIS database damage classifications and coding: light (green), medium (yellow), heavy/totalled (orange), and demolished (red).....	186
Figure 3–102.	Overview of the Greenbriar Nursing Home, shown in relation to the estimated center line of the tornado damage path (red line).....	188
Figure 3–103.	Estimated time–history of wind speed affecting the Greenbriar Nursing Home.	189
Figure 3–104.	Collapsed Greenbriar Nursing Home (viewed from the east) with missing roof system and collapsed exterior CMU walls. Note debris from building materials as well as other sources (automobiles) in all interior areas of the facility.....	189
Figure 3–105.	Collapsed Greenbriar Nursing Home.....	190
Figure 3–106.	Collapsed Greenbriar Nursing Home (viewed from the north) showing the portion of the north wing’s exterior wall that did not collapse.....	190
Figure 3–107.	Overview of the Mercy Village Apartments, shown in relation to the estimated center line of the tornado damage path (red line). SJRMC is located to the west (left) of the apartments.....	192
Figure 3–108.	Estimated time–history of wind speed affecting the Mercy Village Apartments.	193

Figure 3–109.	Hurricane tie–downs connecting wood roof trusses to load–bearing wood–frame wall.	194
Figure 3–110.	L to R, pre–deflected wall hold–down, steel strap, and wall anchor.	195
Figure 3–111.	Mercy Village Apartments, viewed from the north, with envelope damage (siding, window glazing, roof covering).	196
Figure 3–112.	Damage to the building envelope of the Mercy Village Apartments.	196
Figure 3–113.	Residential failure due to disconnection of entire roof system (roof–to–wall connection failure due to wind uplift).	199
Figure 3–114.	Failure of first–story–to–foundation wall connection. Entire roof and first floor shifted off of lower story (directly north of SJRMC).	200
Figure 3–115.	Disconnection and loss of entire roof system.	201
Figure 3–116.	Typical roof–to–wall connection damage.	201
Figure 3–117.	Typical wall–to–foundation connections.	202
Figure 3–118.	Typical damage to residential dwelling envelope.	202
Figure 3–119.	Aerial photo showing the locations of the designated refuge area and TBO area in the Walmart store, and a drawing containing the refuge area.	205
Figure 3–120.	Location of the Training Room/designated refuge area at the Home Depot. Perimeter tilt–up wall panels here collapsed inward and onto the safe area.	207
Figure 3–121.	North section of the Home Depot. Red line indicates perimeter tilt–up wall panels that collapsed. Yellow line traces perimeter tilt–up wall panels (northeast corner, around loading dock) that did not collapse. Red rectangle designates the Training Room/designated refuge area.	208
Figure 3–122.	View, from outside toward the west, of the Training Room/designated refuge area of the Home Depot.	209
Figure 3–123.	Locations of Tornado Safe Shelters (color blocks) in Joplin East Middle School and their associated, pre–planned tornado evacuation routes (arrows of corresponding color). ...	211
Figure 3–124.	Locations of “storm shelters” at Joplin High School and pre–planned emergency evacuation routes.	212
Figure 3–125.	Locations of areas of refuge (AOR, red blocks) on the first floor of the Ozark Center for Autism building.	214
Figure 3–126.	View of a typical hallway with damaged interior (ceiling, walls, and debris); hallways were used as designated refuge areas in SJRMC’s East and West Towers.	216

Figure 3–127.	Typical floor plan of SJRMC’s East and West Towers (patient rooms along perimeter and hallway toward the center).....	216
Figure 3–128.	Wood–frame home completely destroyed except for the steel shelter bolted to the concrete floor in the garage.....	220
Figure 3–129.	Above–ground Kevlar storm shelter bolted to concrete garage floor in residence that was damaged during the Joplin tornado.....	221
Figure 3–130.	Extent of damage (roof system disconnected) at residence with in–home, above–ground Kevlar storm shelter.....	221
Figure 3–131.	Destroyed EDE Power Substation 59.....	227
Figure 3–132.	High–pressure gas reducer valve at SJRMC that was damaged and leaked.....	229
Figure 3–133.	Liquid oxygen tank at SJRMC that leaked.....	229
Figure 4–1.	Model #2001–130 Siren from the Federal Signal Company.....	244
Figure 4–2.	Siren locations for the City of Joplin.....	244
Figure 4–3.	The polygons associated with the 18 tornado warnings issued by the NWS between July 1, 2005, and May 25, 2011 (false alarms shown in red, warnings followed by verified tornadoes shown in blue).....	249
Figure 4–4.	Locations of the 161 fatalities resulting from the Joplin tornado. Tornado estimated center track plotted in red.....	255
Figure 4–5.	Joplin Elks Lodge #501 destroyed by the Joplin tornado.....	257
Figure 4–6.	Damage to the interior of SJRMC.....	259
Figure 4–7.	The small brick wall in the foreground (to the left of the van) is one of the few portions of the Greenbriar Nursing Home left standing following the Joplin tornado. (The building standing in the background was not part of the Greenbriar.).....	264
Figure 4–8.	Locations of places of worship, denoted by black plus signs (+) where people died and yellow circles where there were no fatalities, along the tornado damage path (red line marks the estimated center track of the path).....	265
Figure 4–9.	The AT&T store following the Joplin tornado.....	268
Figure 4–10.	A view of the Home Depot building that collapsed in the Joplin tornado.....	271
Figure 4–11.	Damage to Dillon’s grocery inflicted by the Joplin tornado.....	274
Figure 4–12.	Aerial photo of the tornado–damaged Walmart Store #59, showing the collapsed south half and uncollapsed north half of the building.....	276

Figure 4–13.	Geographic locations of 104 of the 109 NIST interviewees who were located at home when the tornado hit Joplin. The red line indicates the estimated center track of the tornado.	277
Figure 4–14.	Demolished home located within the tornado damage path in Joplin.	285
Figure 4–15	The geographic locations of deaths in homes and apartments in Joplin. Detached homes are designated by pentagon shapes and apartments by square shapes, with the red line indicating the estimated center track of the tornado.	286
Figure 4–16.	Geographic locations of NIST interviewees located outside of structures when the storm hit (13 individuals were in vehicles and 2 were outdoors). The red line represents the estimated center track of the tornado. Note: Two interviewees were located slightly beyond the boundaries of the aerial photograph; their locations are shown to scale	297
Figure 4–17.	The geographic locations of deaths that occurred in vehicles (indicated by the red and blue highway signs) and outdoors (black diamonds) when the storm hit. The red line is the estimated center track of the tornado. Note: Multiple deaths occurring at the same location are represented by only one symbol.....	291
Figure 4–18.	Comparison, by age, of the 161 Joplin fatalities with U.S. tornado fatalities from 1996 through 2007 (Simmons and Sutter 2011).	296
Figure 4–19.	Comparison of fatality rates per thousand people within two populations: Population 1 – the entire population of Joplin and Population 2 – the population of the areas within Joplin most affected by the tornado (U.S. Census Bureau 2010).	297
Figure 4–20.	Census tracts in Joplin that were affected by tornado damage.	297
Figure 4–21.	Tornado–related injury rates by 10–year age range for residents of Jasper and Newton Counties (U.S. Census Bureau 2010).	298
Figure 4–22.	Geographic locations of the 154 fatalities (in red) and 35 severely injured persons (in blue) included in this analysis.....	302
Figure 4–23.	Geographic locations (labeled by name of structure or type of setting) of the 154 fatalities and 35 severely injured persons included in the analysis of impact–related deaths and severe injuries.	302
Figure 4–24.	The location of the five EF–Scale polygons overlaid on the aerial photo of the estimated tornado center track (red line).	304
Figure 4–25.	Overhead view of tornado damage to Walmart Store #59.	306
Figure 4–26:	A sample of the Jasper County GIS database damage levels distinguished by color.	308
Figure 4–27.	Aerial photo showing the AT&T store demolished by the storm (outlined in red).	310
Figure 4–28.	Tornado damage to the Joplin Elks Lodge.....	311
Figure 4–29.	Aerial photo showing damage to the Full Gospel Church building.....	311

Figure 4–30.	Aerial photo showing damage to Harmony Heights Baptist Church.....	312
Figure 4–31.	Aerial photo showing damage to the Joplin Pizza Hut.	312
Figure 4–32.	Aerial photo showing damage to the Stained Glass Theater.	313
Figure 4–33.	Aerial photo showing damage to the Meadows Healthcare facility (outlined in red)....	314
Figure 4–34.	Aerial photo showing damage to Walmart Store #59, including the red box (circled in white) to indicate the store’s refuge area.	315
Figure 4–35.	Aerial photo showing damage to the Home Depot (outlined in red).	316
Figure 4–36.	Damage to the interior of SJRMC.	317
Figure 4–37.	Aerial photo showing damage to two apartment complexes in Joplin at 2001 Connecticut Avenue (outlined by solid red line) and 2034 Connecticut Avenue (within dotted line).	321
Figure 4–38.	Aerial photo showing damage to the Hampshire Terrace apartments.	322
Figure 4–39.	Aerial photo showing damage to the Greenbriar Nursing Home.....	323
Figure 4–40.	Graphic showing the location of all 154 impact–related fatalities in relation to the EF wind speed zones (red = EF–4, orange = EF–3, yellow = EF–2, green = EF–1, and blue = EF–0).	326
Figure 4–41.	A model depicting protective–action decision–making in the Joplin tornado.....	328
Figure 4–42.	Tornado fatality rates per thousand people within two populations: Population 1 – the entire population of Joplin and Population 2 – the population of the areas within Joplin most affected by the tornado (154 impact–related deaths)	331
Figure 4–43.	Tornado fatality rates (154 impact–related fatalities) and Residential tornado fatality rates (single–family homes and apartments, only)	332
Figure D–1.	Main (top) and interaction (bottom) effects plots for input and output parameters.....	380
Figure D–2.	Histograms showing values of DW and DR for X = 2.1 miles.....	382
Figure D–3.	Area and grid point for detailed factorial design (left)	383
Figure D–4.	Interaction effects plots for DW (top) and DR (bottom) for X = 2.1 miles.	384
Figure D–5.	Interaction effects plots for $\theta = 90$ degrees (top) and $\theta = 180$ degrees (bottom) for X = 2.1 miles.....	385
Figure E–1.	Time History for Near WalMart (Grid Point # 3429).....	387
Figure E–2.	Time History for Near Franklin Technology Center (Grid Point # 1862)	388

Figure E-3.	Time History for Near Home Depot (Grid Point # 3344).....	389
Figure E-4.	Time History for Near St. John’s Regional Medical Center –Main Buildings (Grid Point # 853).....	390
Figure E-5.	Time History for Near Joplin East Middle School (Grid Point # 4382).....	391
Figure E-6.	Time History for Near Joplin High School (Grid Point # 1942).....	392
Figure F-1.	Map of EF-0 tornadoes per year.....	393
Figure F-2.	Map of EF-1 tornadoes per year.....	394
Figure F-3.	Map of EF-2 tornadoes per year.....	394
Figure F-4.	Map of EF-3 tornadoes per year.....	395
Figure F-5.	Map of EF-4 tornadoes per year.....	395
Figure F-6.	Map of EF-5 tornadoes per year.....	396
Figure H-1.	Wind pressure distribution for the Walmart building.....	404
Figure I-1.	Wind pressure distribution for MWFRS of the Home Depot building.....	406
Figure J-1.	Wind pressure distribution along length of the Joplin East Middle School Gymnasium.....	414
Figure J-2.	Wind pressure distribution on the cross section of the Joplin East Middle School Gymnasium.....	414

LIST OF TABLES

Table E-1.	Summary of NIST recommendations	lvi
Table 2-1.	Enhanced Fujita Scale.....	35
Table 2-2.	Estimated wind speeds for NIST-surveyed structures using EF Scale.....	37
Table 2-3.	Wind speed statistics and numbers of structures estimated for residential damage levels using EF Scale.	38
Table 2-4.	Observed Joplin tree fall metrics.	46
Table 2-5.	Estimated areas affected by wind speeds falling within the EF wind-speed ranges, within Joplin grid system and in theoretical EF-4 and EF-5 tornadoes.....	52
Table 2-6.	NIST best estimate of maximum wind speeds for NIST-surveyed structures using tornado wind field model and EF Scale.....	55
Table 2-7.	Percentage of tornado-affected areas exhibiting EF-3 or greater damage, given damage occurs and given specified tornado rating.....	60
Table 2-8.	Sample of significant tornado events in Joplin region.....	66
Table 3-1.	Summary of building-related losses from the Joplin tornado.	78
Table 3-2.	List of NIST-surveyed buildings with damage condition and design information.	81
Table 3-3.	Summary of significant changes in codes and standards pertaining to wind design.	87
Table 3-4.	History of code adoptions and amendments relevant to wind design by the City of Joplin, Missouri.	90
Table 3-5.	Distribution of tornado-affected residential structures by level of damage sustained and year built.	195
Table 3-6.	Correlation between degree of damage and age of residential construction, by severity of wind speed.	198
Table 3-7.	Timeline of Electrical Power Restoration.....	225
Table 4-1.	Distribution of fatalities based upon location of injury/death from the Joplin tornado listed in alphabetical order by location name.....	253
Table 4-2.	Distribution of injured persons based upon locations of sampled injuries and all fatalities from the Joplin tornado.....	256

Table 4–3.	Number of impact–related fatalities by location (of death or injury that led to death) resulting from the Joplin tornado.	300
Table 4–4.	Distribution of 35 severely injured persons (from the CDC sample) based upon the locations where they were injured in the Joplin tornado.	301
Table 4–5.	Wind speeds associated with fatalities and severe injuries among people located outside of buildings.	305
Table 4–6.	Details on the wind environment, building type, building damage, and actions taken by the deceased for seven buildings in which people died in the Joplin tornado.	309
Table 4–7.	Details on the fatalities, wind environment, building type, building damage, and actions taken by the deceased for residential buildings impacted by the Joplin tornado.	319
Table 4–8.	Wind speeds associated with impact–related fatalities and severe injuries – all locations.	324
Table 4–9.	Impact–related fatalities and severe injuries by distance from the tornado estimated center track.	325
Table D–1.	Final input parameter ranges.	381
Table G–1.	City of Joplin Code Adoptions	397
Table H–1.	Net internal pressure and internal suction for the Walmart building	403
Table I–1.	Net internal pressure and internal suction for MWFRS of the Home Depot building.	406
Table J–1.	Wind pressure acting on the MWFRS of the Joplin East Middle School gymnasium ...	413
Table K–1.	The number of qualifying community emergency management websites chosen, by State.	415